UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/823,602	03/30/2001	Joseph Jeddeloh	MIC-4	6053

1473 7590 06/29/2007

FISH & NEAVE IP GROUP
ROPES & GRAY LLP
1211 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
NEW YORK, NY 10036-8704

EXAMINER	
CHEN, TSE W	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2116	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/29/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/823,602	JEDDELOH, JOSEPH	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tse Chen	2116	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *02 April 2007*.

2a)☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b)☒ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-41 and 43-53* is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-41 and 43-53* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 2, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 25, 38, 41, 43, 51-53 are objected to because of the following informalities:

- As per claims 1, 9, 11, 12, 43, 51-53 "provide said operating speed" should be "provide an operating speed".
- As per claims 25, 38, 41, "wherein said operating speed" should be "wherein an operating speed".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 9, 13-15, 21, 25-26, 29, 31-32, 38, 43-45, 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens et al., US Patent 6226729, hereinafter Stevens, in view of Ikeda, US Patent 6487086, and Hartwell, US Patent 6724850.

5. In re claim 1, Stevens discloses a method of selecting an operating speed [channel frequency] of a memory module interface [interfaces 530, 540, 544] in a computer system [fig.5], said system comprising a central processing unit [processor 595], a memory controller [MCH 500], and a plurality of memory modules [560, 565, 570], each memory module comprising a serial presence detect memory [572] [col.11, l.66 – col.12, l.14], said method comprising:

- Counting the number of said memory modules [fig.8a, 850; col.12, ll.62-67; table 4].
- Keeping a running tally [rimm count] of the number of said memory modules based on said counting [850].
- Generating a clock signal at a frequency to provide an operating speed of said memory module interface [col.13, ll.41-49].
- Based on at least a final tally of the number of said memory modules, selecting only one clock signal to provide an operating speed [channel frequency] of said memory module interface [col.13, ll.41-45; frequency selected based on final tally of every memory modules that are operable with frequency – i.e., selected frequency is to be operable with final tally of memory modules].
- In response to said selecting, providing said selected clock signal to all of said memory modules [col.13, ll.41-49].

- Determining a speed at which all of the plurality of memory modules can operate [col.13, ll.41-45]. Stevens did not disclose explicitly determining a maximum speed at which all of the plurality of memory modules can operate. Examiner hereby takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art to determine the maximum speed of operation, as maximum speed provides faster access capability, leading to better efficiency [i.e., more operations performed in a period of time].

6. Stevens did not disclose selecting the operating speed to be slower than the determined maximum speed.

7. Ikeda discloses a method for selecting an operating speed of a memory module [10c] interface in a computer system [personal computer] [col.1, ll.11-35] said method comprising:

- Based on at least a final tally [e.g., 4] of the number of said memory modules, selecting only one clock signal [e.g., 100 MHz] to provide the operating speed of said memory module interface, wherein said operating speed is slower than the maximum speed [e.g., 133 MHz or more] [col.1, ll.45-62; although the memory modules can operate at maximum speeds greater than 133 MHz, the slower 100 MHz based on the final tally of 4 memory modules is provided as the operating speed to avoid reflections and distortions of signals].

8. Stevens did not discuss details of generating the clock signal.

9. Hartwell discloses a method comprising simultaneously generating multiple clock signals at different frequencies [slow and fast] [col.2, l.52 – col.3, l.10].

10. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens before him at the time the invention was made, to incorporate the

teachings of Hartwell and Ikeda with the system of Stevens, resulting in the claimed method. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to account for limitations in signal transmissions caused by reflections and distortions in conventional memory structures [Ikeda: col.1, ll.32-35, ll.60-62] and to generate different frequencies in typical data processing systems that require different clock speeds [Hartwell: col.1, l.56 – col.2, l.49].

11. As to claim 2, Stevens discloses, wherein said selecting comprises generating memory module interface signals comprising clock, address, and data signals at a frequency based on said final tally of the number of said memory module count and operating speed information of said memory modules [Stevens: col.11, l.35 – col.12, l.22; col.13, ll.41-56].

12. As to claim 3, Stevens discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., frequency data] of said memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [col.13, ll.41-49; frequency selected based on queried frequency that is operable with final tally of every memory modules].

13. In re claim 9, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 1 and 3.

14. In re claims 13, 25, 31, 43, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claim 1. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose the method of operating the computer system; therefore, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens

disclose the computer system and associated means. Stevens discloses wherein said memory controller:

- Accesses said serial presence detect memory [col.11, l.66 – col.12, l.14].
- Keeps a running tally of the number of said memory modules based on said accesses to said serial presence detect memory [fig.8a, 850; col.12, ll.62-67; table 4].
- Selects one of the clock frequencies for driving said memory module interface based on at least a final tally of the number of said memory modules [col.13; ll.41-45].

15. As to claim 14, Stevens discloses that the central processing unit is a microprocessor [processor 595].

16. As to claim 15, Stevens discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., frequency data] of said memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [col.13, ll.41-49; frequency selected based on queried frequency that is operable with final tally of every memory modules].

17. In re claims 21, 26, 29, 38, 51, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above in reference to claims 3 and 13. Hartwell discloses a computer system [data processing system 100] comprising at least two phase locked loops [PLL 1 and 3] to generate respective clock signals of different frequencies [slow and fast] [col.2, l.52 – col.3, l.10].

18. As to claim 32, Stevens discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., frequency data] of said

memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [col.13, ll.41-49; frequency selected based on queried frequency that is operable with final tally of every memory modules].

19. As to claim 44, Stevens discloses, wherein said selecting comprises generating memory module interface signals comprising clock, address, and data signals at a frequency based on said final tally of the number of said memory module count and operating speed information of said memory modules [Stevens: col.11, l.35 – col.12, l.22; col.13, ll.41-56].

20. As to claim 45, Stevens discloses, comprising obtaining information from said serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic [e.g., frequency data] of said memory module, wherein said selecting comprises selecting only one of said multiple clock signals based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and said characteristic [col.13, ll.41-49; frequency selected based on queried frequency that is operable with final tally of every memory modules].

21. Claims 4-5, 7-8, 10-12, 16-17, 19-20, 22-24, 27-28, 30, 33-34, 36-37, 39-41, 46-47, 49-50, 52-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens as applied to claims 3, 13, 21 above, and further in view of Johnson et al., US Patent 5577236, hereinafter Johnson.

22. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens did not discuss the details [e.g., specific data] of selecting one of the operating speeds.

23. Johnson discloses a method comprising obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory [flash memory] that includes at least one characteristic [factors 2-4] of a memory module, wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules [factor 1] and said characteristic [col.8, ll.33-45; col.9, ll.4-18].

24. As to claims 4, 11, 16, 22-23, 33, 40, 46, 52, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises the number of components [memory circuits] in each said memory module [col.9, ll.9-10].

25. As to claims 5, 12, 17, 24, 30, 34, 39, 41, 47, 53, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises a speed grade [sort] of said memory module [col.9, ll.17-18].

26. As to claims 7, 10, 19, 27, 36, 49, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises a type of said memory module [col.8, ll.33-41].

27. As to claim 8, 20, 28, 37, 50, Johnson discloses said characteristic comprises a physical layout of signal connections between said memory controller and said memory module [col.9, ll.11-16].

28. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Johnson, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens to include the explicit teachings of Johnson [i.e., relating to specific serial presence detect data to be retrieved], in order to obtain the system capable of obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic of a memory module, wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and the specific

characteristic. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make such a combination as it provides a way to accurately read data from a memory that may vary in numbers and other attributes [Johnson: col.2, l.46 – col.3, l.50].

29. Claims 6, 18, 35, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens as applied to claims 3, 13, 21 above, and further in view of Olarig et al., US Patent 6134638, hereinafter Olarig.

30. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens disclose each and every limitation of the claim as discussed above. Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens did not discuss the details [e.g., specific data] of selecting one of the operating speeds.

31. Olarig discloses a method comprising obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic of a memory module [114], wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on said characteristic, wherein said characteristic comprises a manufacturer of said memory module [table 1; col.10, ll.25-37].

32. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Olarig, Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the system taught by Hartwell, Ikeda and Stevens to include the explicit teachings of Olarig [i.e., relating to specific serial presence detect data to be retrieved], in order to obtain the system capable of obtaining information from a serial presence detect memory that includes at least one characteristic of a memory module, wherein a selecting comprises selecting one of the clocks based on at least said final tally of the number of said memory modules and the specific characteristic of the manufacturer. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to

make such a combination as it provides a way to determine the preferred clock frequency and timing characteristics of the memory module [Olarig: col.10, ll.25-37].

Response to Arguments

33. Applicant's arguments filed April 2, 2007 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tse Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3672. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9AM - 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rehana Perveen can be reached on (571) 272-3676. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tse Chen
June 2, 2007